| ndatory Evalua<br>s a retail compo | onent where consumers can purchase fresh meats, seafood, dairy, produce, and/or healthy food options   |                |
|------------------------------------|--|----------------|
| Excellent                          | The applicant has an existing retail component that already enables consumers to purchase fresh food.  | 6 - 10         |
| Excellent                          | The applicant has an existing retain component that arready chapies consumers to purchase tresh rood.  | 0 - 10         |
| Good                               | The applicant has either: 1) a business plan that includes adding the sale of fresh foods to an existing retail component that does not currently offer them; or 2) a clear plan to develop a retail component that includes the sale of fresh foods.  | 1 - 5          |
| Poor                               | The applicant has no retail component for fresh foods and no plan to implement one.  | 0              |
| andatory Evalua                    | ntion Criteria<br>antially run by BIPOC leadership or be working with a BIPOC-run organization in active partnership, to include sha   | arad laadarsh  |
| ecision-making, r                  |  | areu ieauersii |
| Excellent                          | The applicant individual or organization is BIPOC or majority BIPOC-run and/or is actively partnered with a BIPOC-led organization. For partnerships, the applicant must show that this is an active, ongoing partnership with shared decision-making and that the partner shares from the economic benefit.   | 6 - 10         |
| Good                               | The applicant individual or organization is not BIPOC or BIPOC individuals constitute a minority of leadership and/or the business plan includes a plan to partner with a BIPOC-led organization. For applicants intending to partner with a BIPOC-led organization, the organization must already be identified and prepared to actively engage in the project. | 1-5            |
| Poor                               | BIPOC individuals are not part of the ownership or leadership.   | 0              |
| Iandatory Evalua                   | ntion Criteria   |                |
| irectly serves res                 | idents within underserved, low food access communities   |                |
| Excellent                          | The project directly serves an underserved, low food access community.   | 6 - 10         |
| Good                               | The project has a business plan outlining how it plans to serve an underserved, low food access community.   | 1 - 5          |
| Poor                               | The project does not serve an underserved, low food access community.  | 0              |

| andatory Evalua  | ntion Criteria  |               |
|------------------|---|---------------|
| emonstrates a hi | story of community engagement, work in the community, and community support for the project   |               |
| Excellent        | The applicant has a clearly established history of work in the community. The project has demonstrated support from leaders, both formal and informal, in the community.  | 6 - 10        |
| Good             | The applicant is relatively new to the community but is knowledgeable on issues central to the community; the applicant has engaged in outreach with local community leaders, both formal and informal, and has support for the project.  | 1-5           |
| Poor             | The applicant has no history with or connection to the community.   | 0             |
| andatory Evalua  | ation Criteria  |               |
| ccepts SNAP ben  | efits and offers Virginia Fresh Match incentives  |               |
| Excellent        | The applicant is currently able to offer or accept SNAP and is prepared to offer or accept Virginia Fresh Match incentives.   | 6 - 10        |
| Good             | The applicant is unable to accept SNAP but has a clear plan and timeline to become eligible for both SNAP and Fresh Match.  | 1 - 5         |
| Poor             | The applicant has no plan to accept SNAP and Virginia Fresh Match.  | 0             |
| Iandatory Evalua | ation Criteria  |               |
|                  | num of 25% of fresh products from Virginia's small, beginning, limited resource, Veteran, and/or socially disadvantag   | ged farmers a |
| Excellent        | The applicant is currently purchasing 25% or more of fresh products from Virginia's small, beginning, limited resource, Veteran, and/or socially disadvantaged producers. Strong applicants will demonstrate the existence of partnerships with producers, up to and including letters of support indicating that farmers are providing sufficient fresh products.                  | 6 - 10        |
| Good             | The applicant has a clear plan to purchase 25% or more of fresh products from Virginia's small, beginning, limited resource, Veteran, and/or socially disadvantaged producers. Strong applicants will demonstrate the development of partnerships with producers, up to and including letters of support indicating that farmers will be able to provide sufficient fresh products. | 1 - 5         |

| Poor                              | The applicant has no plan to purchase fresh products from Virginia's small, beginning, limited resource, Veteran, and/or socially disadvantaged farmers and ranchers.  | 0          |
|-----------------------------------|--|------------|
| itional Evalua                    | tion Criteria  |            |
| vides customer<br>lucts to the co | access, either by providing/coordinating transportation to the business or by providing a mobile market component t<br>nmunity   | hat brings |
| Excellent                         | The applicant currently has a transportation component as part of its operation.   | 4 - 6      |
| Good                              | The applicant has included a transportation component in its business plan, providing a clear budget and timeline for implementation.  | 1-3        |
| Poor                              | There is no plan to include transportation in the business model.  | 0          |
| itional Evalua                    | tion Criteria  |            |
| grates commu                      | nity culture and artistic expression in the business model   |            |
| Excellent                         | The applicant currently incorporates methods of expressing the culture, arts, and history of the community in its business plan. The applicant works with BIPOC community artists, storytellers, historians, and community leaders to inform the plan and to create artwork or other design elements that are relevant to the community. | 4 - 6      |
| Good                              | The applicant has a plan to incorporate the culture, arts, and history of the community into its business plan. The applicant plans to work with BIPOC community artists, storytellers, historians, and community leaders to inform the plan and to create artwork or other design elements that are relevant to the community.          | 1-3        |
| Poor                              | The applicant does not have a plan to incorporate the culture, arts, and history of the community into its business plan.  | 0          |
| itional Evalua                    | tion Criteria  |            |
| elops new mar                     | kets and enterprises, creating real and sustainable economic opportunities   |            |
| Excellent                         | The applicant has clearly articulated a plan for the business's long-term sustainability outside of grant programs. The business plan identifies both short-term gains and long-term potential growth with a high likelihood of success.   | 4 - 6      |

| Good              | The applicant has identified some elements of both short- and long-term growth but has not fully identified a path to sustainability.   | 1 - 3                 |
|-------------------|---|-----------------------|
| Poor              | The business is unlikely to succeed without ongoing grant support.  | 0                     |
| Additional Evalua | tion Criteria   |                       |
| _                 | r financial sustainability and positive outcomes to the community, including education, leadership and youth develonts, job training, or workforce development  | opment, employment    |
| Excellent         | The applicant has clearly identified benefit(s) to the community, such as reserving a certain number of jobs for residents and providing internships/training for youth. Strong applications will clearly demonstrate methods and timelines for attaining outcomes and will show how they are using models with proven success. | 4 - 6                 |
| Good              | The applicant intends to add benefit(s) to the community to the business plan but has not yet fully identified the manner or timeline.  | 1-3                   |
| Poor              | The applicant provides no plan for adding community benefit(s) to the business plan.  | 0                     |
| Additional Evalua | tion Criteria   |                       |
|                   | ess in creating partnerships and/or efforts to leverage additional funding. Includes a defined plan to create partner<br>he cost of products sold to the consumer   | rships that allow the |
|                   |   |                       |

The applicant has well established partnerships or a defined plan to create partnerships that will allow the business to offset the cost of products sold to the consumer. Strong applications will show that potential partners have already

The applicant has tentative plans to create partnerships but does not have relationships in place or letters of support

been identified and that they support the project.

The applicant has no plan to create partnerships.

from potential partners.

4 - 6

1 - 3

0

Excellent

Good

Poor

| Additional Feedback   |       |
|---|-------|
| Please use this space for any additional feedback not captured in the scoring rubric.   |       |
| Note: Additional criteria will be added to this section of the evaluation rubric fur use during applicant interviews. The criteria included in this section will provide an opportunity to rate "softer" skills not listed above. Use of additional criteria may shed light on an applicant's potential for success and include rating criteria such as: expressed passion for the project, potential for success, clarity of ideas, clear and concise use of resources, etc. | 0 - 5 |